

Public Document Pack
SOUTHEND-ON-SEA BOROUGH COUNCIL

People Scrutiny Committee
(Special Meeting)

Date: Thursday, 6th April, 2017 @ 18.00
Place: Committee Room 1 - Civic Suite

Contact: Fiona Abbott – Principal Committee Officer
Email: committeesection@southend.gov.uk

AGENDA

**** **Part 1**

- 1 Apologies for Absence
- 2 Declarations of Interest
- 3 Questions from Members of the Public
[Note – as this is a special meeting, questions must relate to the business included in the agenda for the meeting].

**** **OTHER SCRUTINY MATTERS**

- 4 **Mid and South Essex Sustainability and Transformation Plan and Success Regime** (Pages 1 - 6)
Latest update briefing (attached)

Note - All Members of the Council are most welcome to attend for this part of the meeting – if you will have any specific questions to ask at the meeting, it would be helpful if you could send them to the committeesection@southend.gov.uk before the meeting.

- 5 **Southend University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**
Presentation from Yvonne Blucher, Managing Director, Southend University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

TO: The Chairman & Members of the People Scrutiny Committee:

Councillor J Moyies (Chair), Councillor C Nevin (Vice-Chair)
Councillors B Arscott, M Assenheim, M Borton, H Boyd, S Buckley, M Butler, C Endersby, D Garston, S Habermel, A Jones, D McGlone, C Mulroney, G Phillips, M Stafford and C Walker

Co-opted Members

Church of England Diocese –
Ms Emily Lusty (Voting on Education matters only)

Roman Catholic Diocese –
VACANT (Voting on Education matters only)

Parent Governors –
(i) Mr Mark Rickett (Voting on Education matters only)
(ii) VACANT (Voting on Education matters only)

SAVS – Ms Alison Semmence (Non-Voting);
Healthwatch Southend – Ms Leanne Crabb (Non-Voting);
Southend Carers Forum – VACANT (Non-Voting)

Observers

Youth Council
(i) E Feddon (Non-voting)
(ii) J Jenkins (Non-Voting)

15 March 2017

Briefing on current progress

Update on options appraisal for hospital reconfiguration

Issue

We are going through an options appraisal in February and March to narrow down the possible options for hospital reconfiguration. This will provide the basis for completing a detailed business case to propose major service change for public consultation in mid and south Essex.

There is no decision as yet. This briefing note updates you on the options appraisal so far and what happens next.

Please feel free to give your views either by email to england.essexsuccessregime@nhs.net

Quick recap on the options appraisal process

- Work led by local clinicians arrived at five possible future configurations for our three main hospitals in Basildon, Chelmsford and Southend. *See appendix 1 for a reminder of the five possible configurations*
- As part of the process to narrow down these options to form the basis of a detailed business case, four appraisal panels have tested them against four criteria – 1. Clinical quality, outcomes and patient safety; 2. Sustainability of workforce; 3. Access; 4. Efficiency and productivity.
- The four appraisal panels were:
 - **Service user panel**, comprising three representatives from each of the five CCG areas and with a balance of health and care interests.
 - **Clinical experts' panel**, comprising nationally recognised experts who are independent of services in mid and south Essex.
 - **Finance experts' panel**, comprising finance directors from partner organisations in the Success Regime. This panel will concentrate just on efficiency and productivity.
 - **System leaders' panel**, comprising clinical and operational leaders from CCGs, trusts, local authorities and professional bodies.
- All panel members had access to a summary of relevant evidence *See appendix 2 for a headline summary of the main evidence*

The outcome so far

- Option 2A consistently received the highest score from all of the panels.
- Option 1A was the higher scoring option of model 1
- The result is a strong pointer towards the potential future configuration; however, there are many practical issues and implications to be resolved before this may be considered to be the final proposal.
- This is not a decision and does not rule out other options or variations to the existing models at this stage. Discussions are continuing to highlight further issues for consideration.

Highest scoring option of model 1 was 1A

Option	Basildon	Broomfield	Southend
1A	Essex Cardiothoracic Centre Specialist emergency hospital Specialist obstetric centre	Plastics & Burns Centre Emergency centre Elective surgery Children's centre	Cancer Centre Emergency centre Elective surgery

Highest scoring option of model 2 was 2A

Option	Basildon	Broomfield	Southend
2A	Essex Cardiothoracic Centre Specialist emergency hospital Specialist obstetric centre	Plastics & Burns Centre Emergency centre Elective surgery Children's centre	Cancer Centre Local emergency centre Centre for planned care

What these options would involve:

- All three hospitals would continue to provide a walk-in A&E service, 24 hours a day. This is not simply for minor injuries. All three sites would have assessment units for children, older and frail people and people in need of medical and surgical care, so most of what people need in an emergency would be offered at the local hospital sites.
- Over 90% of hospital visits would remain at each local hospital site. This includes walk-in A&E, day surgery, outpatient appointments and beds for a short stay for observation and recovery.
- In all options under discussion:
 - The Essex Cardiothoracic Centre at Basildon would continue to treat patients suffering a heart attack and those who require complex chest surgery.
 - Broomfield would continue to provide the region's centre for plastic surgery and burns.
 - Southend would continue to be the designated cancer and radiotherapy centre.
- In addition to providing the majority of routine hospital care for its local population, each hospital site would provide some centralised specialist services. The red, orange and yellow symbols above indicate how these specialist options could differ.

- **Under both options 1A and 2A**, Basildon would provide a specialist emergency hospital. This would consolidate top specialist expertise for very serious, life-threatening cases and emergency surgery.
- **Under option 1A**, both Broomfield and Southend Hospitals would provide a combination of specialist emergency and planned care.
- **Under option 2A**, Southend would provide a centre of excellence for the more complex and specialist planned operations.
- Either option could also, in time, include a specialist centre at Basildon for high risk births, which would support the maternity and neonatal units at each hospital site. Broomfield could develop a specialist inpatient centre for children, alongside the centre for burns and other children's services that are already established at Broomfield Hospital.

What this means and what happens next

While the options appraisal process is an important part of evidence-based planning, there are also a great many operational and practical concerns to address, most of which will benefit from insights from front line staff and local people. This will include details of how a change could be implemented over the next three to four years through a carefully managed and staged approach so that patient safety and care quality is assured at every stage and alongside changes in community care.

Working groups will continue to develop the pre-consultation business case, and we will continue to listen to local views and hold local discussions to inform this work.

The business case will be considered by the CCG and Trust boards before a national review to assure the plans. Subject to national approval, we would then publish proposals for public consultation later in 2017.

Feedback and requests for further information is via www.successregimeessex.co.uk or by email to england.essexsuccessregime@nhs.net

Appendix 1 - Reminder of the current potential reconfiguration models under discussion

It is proposed that there should be no change for the existing highly specialised centres at the three sites.

The first model has three variations and the second has two, which are summarised in the diagram below.

Only Southend Hospital could provide an elective surgery and cancer centre. This is because of the need for emergency care capability to sustain the existing cardiothoracic centre at Basildon and the plastic surgery and burns centre at Broomfield. On the other hand, Southend's specialist cancer and radiotherapy services could work well within a specialist planned care centre.

There is also an opportunity in each of these models to develop a specialist obstetric centre for high risk births at the specialist emergency hospital (supporting local maternity units at all three sites); and a specialist centre for acute paediatrics, a children's hospital (supporting children's assessment units at all three sites). In line with national clinical guidance, a specialist centre for acute paediatrics would need to be co-located with the plastic surgery and burns centre in Broomfield.

Narrowing down the options

Option	Basildon	Broomfield	Southend
1A	Essex Cardiothoracic Centre Specialist emergency hospital Specialist obstetric centre	Plastics & Burns Centre Emergency centre Elective surgery Children's centre	Cancer Centre Emergency centre Elective surgery
1B	Essex Cardiothoracic Centre Emergency centre Elective surgery	Plastics & Burns Centre Specialist emergency hospital Specialist obstetric centre Children's centre	Cancer Centre Emergency centre Elective surgery
1C	Essex Cardiothoracic Centre Emergency centre Elective surgery	Plastics & Burns Centre Emergency centre Elective surgery Children's centre	Cancer Centre Specialist emergency hospital Specialist obstetric centre
2A	Essex Cardiothoracic Centre Specialist emergency hospital Specialist obstetric centre	Plastics & Burns Centre Emergency centre Elective surgery Children's centre	Cancer Centre Local emergency centre Centre for planned care
2B	Essex Cardiothoracic Centre Emergency centre Elective surgery	Plastics & Burns Centre Specialist emergency hospital Specialist obstetric centre Children's centre	Cancer Centre Local emergency centre Centre for planned care

Appendix 2 – List of the main evidence used in the options appraisal process

- Feedback from service users from three phases of discussion and engagement that took place between April and October 2016
- The outcome of working groups of clinicians between April 2016 and February 2017
- A review by the independent East of England Clinical Senate
- An external review of clinical evidence and national guidance undertaken by the Eastern Academic Health Science Network
- The outcome of a Financial Oversight Group looking at efficiency, productivity and capital costs

Criteria	Key evidence and information
Quality, Safety and Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical Senate reports • Independent review of the clinical evidence base (conducted by Eastern Academic Health Science Network) • Information on correlation between volumes and outcomes • Information on balance between volume and travel time for emergency care • Information on potential for separation of emergency and elective work to reduce cancellation rates • Evidence from Friends and Family test of comparison of specialist trust v general acute
Sustainability of clinical workforce	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information on the likely implications of the five options for workforce (and the ability to meet recognised standards) in the four main sub-group areas*: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Emergency ○ Paediatrics ○ Maternity ○ Surgery • Evidence on staff satisfaction at specialist v general acute trusts
Access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information on likely impact of each option on ambulance travel times and quantification of additional or altered journeys • Information on likely impact of each option on travel times by car • Information on likely impact of each option on travel times by public transport, the number of people likely to be affected and possible mitigations
Efficiency and productivity (considered by FOG only)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information on the likely level of efficiency savings that each of the five options should enable. Key factors included <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Productivity (e.g. reducing length of stay) ○ Economy of scale (e.g. higher volume increasing distribution of fixed costs) ○ Likely reduction in agency ○ Repatriation opportunity (e.g. elective activity from private sector) • Estimates of the capital likely to be required to implement each option

This page is intentionally left blank